arXiv:1304.4794v1 [math-ph] 17 Apr 2013

An Identification of the Dirac Operator with the Parity Operator

Llohann D. Sperança*
IMECC, Department of Mathematics, Unicamp, Campinas CEP 13083-859, Brazil

We provide a possibly new derivation of Dirac equation which promptly generalizes to higher spins. We briefly apply this ideas to Spin-half Elko dark matter.

PACS numbers: 11.10.-z, 11.30.Cp, 95.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal paper [1], , Dirac points out in a satisfactory way some incompleteness that was present in previous theories which prevented the introduction of the Spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ nature of the electron without further assumptions. In fact, he shows how the introduction of Lorentz symmetries to quantum mechanics requires a new object such as a spin half representation. He presented his solution as a new first-order differential equation obtained as an explicit "square-root" of the Klein-Gordon operator, now known as *Dirac equation*:

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu)\psi + m\psi = 0 \tag{I.1}$$

where ψ is an object with four complex entries called *spinor*. In this note, base ourselves in one way of deriving (I.1) directly from the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the Lorentz group, without further assumptions. We present straightforward generalizations of this derivation.

More precisely, we explore the following identity concerning the parity operator $\mathcal{P}$ in the Spin-1/2 representation:

$$\mathcal{P}\psi(\vec{p}) = m^{-1}\gamma^\mu p_\mu\psi(\vec{p}). \tag{I.2}$$

thus obtaining Dirac equation from the space-time symmetries alone. This identity presents a clearer insight on the covariance of the Dirac operator and gives a systematic way of introducing *Dirac-type* equations on arbitrary $Right \oplus Left$ and $Right \otimes Left$ representations. It also suggests a very simple interpretation of its kinematics principle, say, that kinematics occurs because the parity of a particle is conserved, independent of frame.

In section II we deduce a form for the parity operator from where it follows (I.2) straightforward. In section III, we proceed to remarks concerning its generalizations and we give applications to Elko theory (see [2, 3]) in section IV.

Remark 1. The author does not know if the identities and derivations here are in the literature or not. He rather feels these facts as exposed here as an open door for a more mathematical approach. One may see, for example, that the kinematic operator $\mathcal{A}(\vec{0})$ may be possibly replaced by a suitable endomorphism related to some homogeneous bundle over an homogeneous space. We do not go further into these ideas here.

II. A DERIVATION OF DIRAC EQUATIONS FROM SPACE-TIME SYMMETRIES

We denote by Λ an arbitrary continuous Lorentz transformation and J_i, K_i , $\mathfrak{J}_i$ and $\mathfrak{K}_i$ the infinitesimal rotations and boosts followed by its representatives in the spinor space. In particular, J_i and K_i should be interpreted as 4×4 real matrices (as in the usual space-time representation) and by spinor representation we mean any possible composition of right and left spinor type, specially one of them alone. We shall specify which representation we are dealing with in case it seems ambiguous.

We write a spinor ψ as a function of the momentum in the usual fashion:

$$\psi(\vec{p}) = e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\psi, \tag{II.1}$$

where $\vec{\varphi}$ is the only vector such that $e^{i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\vec{0} = \vec{p}$.

Remark 2. As one more abuse of notation, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an operator, we write $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p})$ for the matrix of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ that acts on the spinor part. An example which shall make this notation clearer is (II.3) (together with (II.4)). In no case we will use the action of an operator in a momentum vector, so, for this manuscript, this notation should not be confused with the other. On the other hand, the action $e^{i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\vec{0} = \vec{p}$ shall be calculated in the four vector corresponding to $\vec{p}$ for a fixed positive mass m. As we work with a fixed mass in the whole manuscript, we give us the freedom to confound one vector with the other (by dropping or introducing the first coordinate at will). We will not use the notation for a four vector. This abuses follow remark 1, and shall facilitate the introduction of other mathematical language.

Following (II.1), it is plausible to ask that an operator in the spin-$\frac{s}{2}$ space, $\mathbb{C}^{s+1}$, transforms like

$$\mathcal{A}(\Lambda\vec{p}) = \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)\mathcal{A}(\vec{p})\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)^{-1}. \tag{II.2}$$

Taking $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$ and $\Lambda = e^{i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}$, (II.2) completely defines $\mathcal{A}$ by its value at rest. Moreover, an operator satisfies (II.2) if and only if it commutes with the action of Λ, in the sense that

$$[A, \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)] = 0,$$

where the calculation must take into account the displacement of the momentum made by $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. This proves

---

* llohann@ime.unicamp.br

that $\mathcal{P}$, as defined in [2], satisfies (II.2). Despite we use a similar definition of parity, we present a direct proof.

Consider the direct sum of $R \oplus L$ spin-$\frac{s}{2}$ spaces. We recall that *the parity operator* in chiral basis is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}(\vec{p})\psi(\vec{p}) = \eta\psi(-\vec{p}) \tag{II.3}$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{s+1} & 1_{s+1} \\ 1_{s+1} & 0_{s+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{II.4}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\vec{p})\psi(\vec{p}) &= \eta\psi(-\vec{p}) \\ &= \eta e^{-2i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\psi(\vec{p}) \\ &= e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\eta e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\psi(\vec{p}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that η anti-commutes with the generators of boosts. In particular, if $s = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\vec{p}) &= e^{2i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\eta \\ &= p_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} + p^i \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= m^{-1}p^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where we use that

$$e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}} = \cosh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\sigma_i \tag{II.5}$$

with $\cosh(t) = p_0$ and $\sinh(t) = |p|$. This completes the proof of I.2.

III. GENERALIZATION TO OTHER REPRESENTATIONS

Following (I.2), we generalize Dirac equation to $R \oplus L$ spinors as (compare [4, 5]):

$$(\mathcal{P}(\vec{p}) \pm 1)\psi(\vec{p}) = 0. \tag{III.1}$$

The definition of (II.4) guarantees the following properties

1. (III.1) has a complete set of solutions: indeed, taking

$$u(\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad v(\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ -\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{III.2}$$

   one notices that $(\mathcal{P} - 1)u = 0$, $(\mathcal{P} + 1)v = 0$ and that any spinor can be written as a sum of u's and v's. Say,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta + \lambda \\ \lambda + \theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \theta - \lambda \\ \lambda - \theta \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

2. it defines an order s PDE: this is observed from the identity $\mathcal{P}(\vec{p}) = e^{i2\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\eta$, (II.5) and the identification of the Spin-$\frac{s}{2}$ space with the space of symmetric tensors of order s, $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\odot s}$, with the usual action in tensor products:

$$e^{i\vec{\mathcal{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}(\psi_1 \otimes ... \otimes \psi_s) = (e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\psi_1) \otimes ... \otimes (e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\psi_s).$$

Following [4, 5], one may write the resulting equations as

$$m^s(\mathcal{P}(\vec{p}) \pm 1) = \gamma^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_s}p_{\mu_1}...p_{\mu_s} \pm m^s.$$

We remark that, by squaring the operators of both sides, we have the family of identities

$$(p_0^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2)^s = \gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s}\gamma^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}p_{\nu_1}...p_{\mu_s}p_{\nu_1}...p_{\nu_s}$$

generalizing Dirac matrices identities ($s = 1$ case).

We also remark that [6] shows that the related PDE for $s = 2$ is exactly the Proca equation in Coulomb's gauge.

Motivated to generalize these framework, we introduce the following definition

Definition III.1. An operator $\mathcal{A}$ in the spinor space is called a *fully kinematic operator* if it satisfies (II.2) and the two following conditions: 1) $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p})^2 = 1$ and 2) $\{\mathcal{A}(\vec{0}), \mathfrak{K}_i\} = 0$ for all i.

Although (II.2) and condition 1) seems natural, condition 2) is required to guarantee that the eigenvalue equation for $\mathcal{A}$ is indeed a partial differential equation. As an example, consider

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\Theta \\ -i\Theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{III.3}$$

where Θ is the Wigner's time reversal operator and we omit the $s+1$ lower indexes of 0. Taking the $s = 1$ case, for instance, one easily recognizes that $\mathcal{C}$ does not anti-commute with $\mathfrak{K}_x$ and that the associated eigenvalues equations involve rational functions with non-Lorentz-invariant denominators.

We also remark that, in $R \oplus L$ representations, all kinematic operators are of the form

$$\mathcal{A}(\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a1_{2\times 2} \\ a^{-1}1_{2\times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{III.4}$$

where a is a non-zero arbitrary complex number. The requirement that both eigenspaces must be treated in equal footing forces a to be 1, retrieving Dirac Equations.

The $R \otimes L$ representations also admits a fully kinematic operator. Indeed, $\mathcal{A}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(\vec{0})(\psi \otimes \varphi) = \varphi \otimes \psi, \tag{III.5}$$

and by (II.2) for other momentum, satisfies all conditions and have the property that $t\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}t$, where $t : R \oplus L \to R \otimes L$ is the (non-linear) tensor product map. In fact, $t\psi$ is a $+1$ eigenspinor of $\mathcal{A}$ if ψ is an eigenspinor of $\mathcal{P}$.

IV. ANTI-LINEAR KINEMATIC OPERATORS

This framework of kinematic operators recognizes the theory introduced in [2]. For a Dark matter candidate, a structure defined through an anti-linear operator may be of interest, since standard $U(1)$-gauge transformations would not be supported. In this section we restrict ourselves to the spin-1/2 case.

We first remark that the idea developed so far will not work in this case. In fact, there is no anti-linear fully kinematic operator. With effect, a straightforward calculation shows that the only solutions for $\{\mathcal{A}(\vec{0}), \mathfrak{K}_i\} = 0$ are of the form

$$\mathcal{A}(\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} a\Theta & 0 \\ 0 & b\Theta \end{pmatrix} K \tag{IV.1}$$

where K is the complex conjugation operator. However, one can easily verify that $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p})^2$ cannot be the identity. Indeed, one cannot even guarantee the existence of a complete set of eigenspinors for $\mathcal{A}$ for arbitrary a, b.

As an additional remark, we observe that, on the other hand, for $a = -b = i$, $\mathcal{A}(\vec{p})^2 = -1$ with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}K\mathcal{P} = C\mathcal{P}$, where C is the charge-conjugation operator. In this case we observe that, not only $C\mathcal{P}$ defines a complex structure on the spinor space, but $\{1, i, C\mathcal{P}, iC\mathcal{P}\}$ indeed defines a quaternionic structure on it. Moreover, these four operators commute with $i\mathcal{P}$. Thus defining a strange $SU(2)$ symmetry for the equations

$$(i\gamma^\mu p_\mu \pm im)\psi(\vec{p}) = 0.$$

The non-constance of these operator along $\vec{p}$ finds its place if one interprets the momentum space as the homogeneous space $SO(1,3)/SO(3)$. In this case, the spinor space can be thought as a homogeneous bundle. We don't further pursue this idea here.

A. Dark Matter

We recall that starting point in [2] is to take eigenspinors of the Charge Conjugation operator (instead of the Parity operator). Once a choice of basis of eigenspinors is made, a linear operator is constructed. This resulting linear operator depends on the choice of basis since the Charge Conjugation operator is anti-linear. We proceed in the same fashion.

We define the Charge-conjugation operator as $C = \mathcal{C}K$ for $\mathcal{C}$ as in III.3. If $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ form a basis, then

$$\tilde{u}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i\Theta u^* \\ u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{v}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i\Theta v^* \\ v \end{pmatrix} \tag{IV.2}$$

is a basis of the spin-1/2 space with

$$C\tilde{u}_\pm = \pm\tilde{u}, \qquad C\tilde{v}_\pm = \pm\tilde{v}. \tag{IV.3}$$

Note that the association $u \mapsto \tilde{u}_\pm$ is not linear, making explicit the anti-linearity of C.

Chosen base vectors u, v, there is a unique linear operator $\mathcal{G}(u,v)$ such that the identities (IV.3) hold, say, the operator which is the diagonal $(1,1,-1,-1)$ in the chosen basis. We recall that *Elko* theory is constructed by taking u and v as eigenspinors of the helicity operator. In ([7]), other choices are made (in which, by chance, gives the same operator as in [2]). There the symmetries of the resulting theory is examined, proving that it does not support the full Lorentz group. Here we generalize this fact and prove that no choice of u and v can be done preserving condition (II.2) for the full Lorentz group.

With effect, for

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{G}(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{2i\mathrm{Im}(bd^*)}{ad-bc} & \frac{i(cb^*-ad^*)}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{i(da^*-bc^*)}{ad-bc} & \frac{2i\mathrm{Im}(ac^*)}{ad-bc} \\ \frac{2i\mathrm{Im}(ca^*)}{a^*d^*-b^*c^*} & \frac{i(cb^*-ad^*)}{a^*d^*-b^*c^*} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{i(da^*-bc^*)}{a^*d^*-b^*c^*} & \frac{2i\mathrm{Im}(db^*)}{a^*d^*-b^*c^*} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we begin the proof by supposing that we have a theory given by an operator $\mathcal{G}(\vec{p})$ satisfying (II.2), and that $\mathcal{G}(u,v) = \mathcal{G}(\vec{p}_0)$ for some fixed $\vec{p}_0$. Recalling that $\Theta\mathfrak{K}_i\Theta = -\mathfrak{K}_i^*$ (see [2]), we get

$$\mathcal{G}(\vec{0}) = e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}_0}\mathcal{G}(u,v)e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}_0} = \mathcal{G}(e^{i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\varphi}_0}u, e^{i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{\varphi}_0}v).$$

So, we can suppose without loss of generality that $\vec{p}_0 = \vec{0}$. In this case, (II.2) implies that $\mathcal{G}(u,v)$ must commute with rotations. An application of Schur's Lemma, or a direct computation, shows that it happens if and only if

$$a\bar{d} - c\bar{b} = 0 \tag{IV.4a}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}(a\bar{c}) = \mathrm{Im}(b\bar{d}). \tag{IV.4b}$$

The first condition, (IV.4a), is equivalent to the existence of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$a = \lambda\bar{b}, \qquad c = \lambda\bar{d}.$$

In this situation we have

$$det\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda\bar{b} & \lambda\bar{d} \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \lambda(\bar{b}d - \bar{d}b) = 2\lambda\mathrm{Im}(b\bar{d})$$

On the other hand, (IV.4b) implies that

$$\mathrm{Im}(b\bar{d}) = \mathrm{Im}(a\bar{c}) = \mathrm{Im}(|\lambda|^2\bar{b}d) = -|\lambda|^2\mathrm{Im}(b\bar{d}),$$

concluding that $\mathrm{Im}(b\bar{d})$ or $\lambda = 0$ must be zero. On both cases u and v does not form a basis, as desired.

As a final remark, we observe that the whole construction in [2, 3] can be recovered from this Kinematic operator viewpoint. In particular, one gets the following decomposition (originally due to D. V. Ahluwalia):

$$\gamma^\mu p_\mu = m\mathcal{G}(\vec{p})\Xi(\vec{p})$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is as in [2] and $\Xi(\vec{p})$ is the *index-flipping matrix* defined by equation (8) of [3]. In fact, in general, one can chose a set $u_1, ..., u_s, v_1, ..., v_s$ of Spin-$\frac{s}{2}$ spinors of norm $\sqrt{2m}$ and consider the operator $\mathcal{K}(\vec{p})$ defined as the only operator such that

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{p})u_l(\vec{p}) = u_l(\vec{p}), \qquad \mathcal{K}(\vec{p})v_l(\vec{p}) = -v_l(\vec{p})$$

for all l. One notice that, according to our definition of spinor, $\mathcal{K}$ always satisfies (II.2) when Λ is the boost in the $\vec{p}$ direction. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}(\vec{p})$ can be defined in the following manner

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{0}) = \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{l=1}^{s}(u_l(\vec{0})u_l(\vec{0})^\dagger + v_l(\vec{0})v_l(\vec{0})^\dagger)\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})^\dagger\eta$$

where $\Xi(\vec{0})$ is an operator such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_l(\vec{p})^\dagger\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{p})^\dagger\eta u_{l'}(\vec{p}) &= 2m\delta_{ll'} \\ u_l(\vec{p})^\dagger\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{p})^\dagger\eta v_{l'}(\vec{p}) &= 0 \\ v_l(\vec{p})^\dagger\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{p})^\dagger\eta v_{l'}(\vec{p}) &= -2m\delta_{ll'}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{p}) = e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}.$$

The operator $\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})$ is uniquely defined and it exists for any set of (II.1)-type spinors. A more elaborated definition is required for the case in [3], although $\Xi(\vec{p})$ is still well-defined for $\vec{p} \neq \vec{0}$.

We now further assume that $\mathcal{K}(\vec{0})$ is hermitian. This is equivalent to ask that the subspaces generated by $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ are hermitian orthogonal. In this case, keeping the same operator, we can replace the chosen set of spinors by an orthogonal one. So

$$\sum_{l=1}^{s}(u_l(\vec{0})u_l(\vec{0})^\dagger + v_l(\vec{0})v_l(\vec{0})^\dagger) = 2m,$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{l=1}^{s} e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}(e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}})^\dagger(e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}})^\dagger\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})^\dagger(e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}})^\dagger\eta \\ &= e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})^\dagger e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\eta \\ &= (e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})^\dagger e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}})e^{i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}}\eta e^{-i\vec{\mathfrak{K}}\cdot\vec{\varphi}} \\ &= \Xi(\vec{p})\mathcal{P}(\vec{p}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi(\vec{0}) = \tilde{\Xi}(\vec{0})^\dagger$. Observing that $\mathcal{K}(\vec{p})^2 = 1$, we recover the decomposition

$$\gamma^\mu p_\mu = m\mathcal{K}(\vec{p})\Xi(\vec{p}),$$

as desired.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The identification (I.2) is implicit on a derivation of Dirac equation due Prof. D. V. Ahluwalia's (see [4]). Such derivation was pointed out by Prof. Ahluwalia himself and the author is also thankful to him for further discussions.

---

[1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **117**, 351 (1928).
[2] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, JCAP **07**, 012 (2005).
[3] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 067701 (2005).
[4] D. V. Ahluwalia, Ph.D. thesis, Texas A& M University (1991).
[5] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **113**, 1318 (1964).
[6] S. Dimitri, Ph.D. thesis, University of Catenbury (2013), in preparation.
[7] D. V. Ahluwalia and S. P. Horvath, JHEP **11**, 078 (2010).